



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,295	04/22/2004	Hiroshi Inoue	0054-0285PUS1	7220
2292 7590 07/29/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				
EXAMINER BECKLEY, JONATHAN R				
ART UNIT 2625		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 07/29/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/829,295

Applicant(s)

INOUE ET AL.

Examiner

JONATHAN R. BECKLEY

Art Unit

2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JONATHAN R. BECKLEY.

(3) _____.

(2) ALI IMAM.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: Minor errors in Final Rejection.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ali Imam brought to the attention of the Examiner that a typo error of "Ito" was referenced as a withstanding rejection within the office action. The examiner agreed with the error and agreed to fix the error by changing "Ito" to "Watanabe, and Watanabe combined with Yamamoto, and Watanabe combined with Banerjee" which was understood both by the attorney and examiner of case 10/829,295.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jonathan R Beckley/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.